

International Journal of Horticultural Science 2011, 17 (4–5): 115–117.
Agroinform Publishing House, Budapest, Printed in Hungary
ISSN 1585-0404

The effect of drying process on the pigment content and composition of table beet varieties

Takács-Hajos, M.

University of Debrecen, Centre of Agricultural Science and Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Institute of Horticulture, 138. Böszörményi str., Debrecen, H-4032, Hungary, e-mail: hajos@agr.unideb.hu

Summary: The food industry requires natural colouring agents in increasing quantities. Beet root is highly adapted to this purpose with its red (betacyanin) and yellow (betaxanthin) pigments suitable for numerous products. The two pigments are, however, very heat-sensitive depending not only on the method of drying as well.

In our experiment we tested 6 table beet varieties with vacuum drying (instrument: Eurovac KIT-150) and obtained very big differences in the suitability of varieties for drying. The less colour loss was measured in Bordó (16.84%), while Rubin and Cylindra indicated 45.15 and 47.18% loss respectively.

During the drying raw material with higher colour content produced higher rate of pigment loss ($r = 0.880$). In our experiment we found adverse effects on colour material and dry matter content in the fresh beet root. The variety with higher solids (mainly sugar) resulted in higher pigment loss ($r = -0.847$) during the vacuum drying process. Furthermore, we stated that the yellow pigments (betaxanthin) were less heat sensitive during drying than the red (betacyanin) ones.

For the production of beet root powder varieties of high pigment content but low water soluble solids content (sugar) are needed.

Key words: table beet, vacuum drying, natural pigment, water soluble solids content, betacyanin, betaxanthin, heat sensitivity, pigment loss

Introduction

Recently rigorous prohibitive measures have been introduced in the use of additives – including colouring agents – in food industry. It is well known that many of the artificial colouring agents are unwholesome. Table beet root pigments contain betalaines consisting of a mixture of yellow betaxanthins (BX) and red betacyanins (BC). It is their ratio (BC/BX) that determines the intensity of inner colour in the root. Red beet roots are excellent natural sources of colouring agents used not only in the food industry but also in cosmetics (make up, powder, etc.). The term betacyanin refers collectively to several red colour components. These compounds degrade easily at high temperature, intense light and humid atmosphere (Elbe et al., 1974). They are the most stable in slightly acid medium (Takácsné Hajos and Gyuris, 1994). Betanin is the red pigment found in the highest quantity mounting to 75–95% of the total pigment content (Nagy-Gasztonyi et al., 2001). During processing betanin is the slowest to degrade, so, it is desired in the highest possible quantity in the raw material. The colouring agent produced of table beet roots is marketed under the label of betanin E 162 and used for colouring bottled cherry, soya products, fruit yoghurt, ice-cream, sausage and tinned beef. It is meant to limit the use of azocolour amaranth E 123 (naphtol red S). Juice can also be made of beet roots by lactic acid fermentation. Table beet pigments are used as powder and colour concentrations produced by fermentation. When

fermented the extraction is affected considerably by the species of the yeast and the quantity of sugars in the root (Drdak et al., 1992).

Table beet root due to its pigment content is especially adapted to produce natural food colours and in the same time, it has favourable nutritive – physiological effects. Beet root has considerable fibre (0.9–2.53%), potassium (336 mg 100g⁻¹), and magnesium (25 mg 100g⁻¹) contents. Beet root in proper doses can increase the mineral element supply of the organism.

A close correlation was found between the red pigment content (betacyanin) and the total antioxidant content ($r = 0.71$). It proves that cultivars of intensive red inner colour are more capable to catch free radicals, that is, they are more valuable from nutritional-physiological points (Takacs-Hajos et al., 2004; Jiratana and Liu, 2007).

Tests revealed that the pigment loss caused by drying is significantly affected by the variety and its genetically fixed pigment content. It is well known that the red and yellow pigments, respectively, are determined by several components of different heat sensitivity (Nagy-Gasztonyi et al., 2001). This could explain the difference between varieties.

Materials and methods

In the trial we used 6 varieties (*Favorit*, *Rubin*, *Detroit*, *Bordó*, *Cylindra* and *Little Ball*, all of them in Hungarian

seed trade), in 4 repetition. We measured the water soluble solids content of fresh root (%) by refractometer and the red (betacyanins) and yellow (betaxanthins) pigment content ($\text{mg}100\text{g}^{-1}$) of root. For pigment analysis we used a spectrophotometric method (Takácsné Hájos and Gyuris, 1994). The absorbance values of the two colour substances were determined (betacyanin – 537 nm, betaxantin – 478 nm), finally measurements were taken at 600 nm, too. The colouring substance concentration was calculated by Nilsson's method (Nilsson, 1970).

The drying process was carried out by a vacuum dryer (Eurovac KIT-150). Before the drying process the samples were washed, peeled and put on the tray of the instrument. The parameters of the drying process were the following: vacuum: 0.2 bar; temperature: 48°C ; the drying period 75.5 hours. Samples were dried to 12-15% water content. After milling the colour substance quantities were measured by the previous method. The pigment content was given in mg pro 100 g powder. The same method was used for the measuring of colour content as in the fresh samples.

For the statistical evaluation – standard deviation and correlation between the parameters – we used the programs of Excel.

Results and discussion

In our trials the variety *Cylindra* showed the highest heat sensitivity as nearly half of the pigments decomposed (Table 1). The variety *Rubin* had similar instability. Of the tested 6 varieties *Bordó* proved to be the best with 16.84% pigment loss.

Table 1. Betacyanin and dry matter content in the table beet varieties

Varieties	Betacyanin content ($\text{mg}100\text{g}^{-1}$)		Colour loss (%)	Dry matter content (%)
	in fresh root	in powder		
Favorit	533.23 ± 47.75	367.96 ± 21.21	30.99	10.85 ± 0.63
Rubin	713.19 ± 21.15	391.09 ± 14.29	45.16	9.52 ± 0.37
Detroit	449.54 ± 27.07	290.26 ± 18.71	35.43	10.45 ± 1.64
Bordó	418.18 ± 12.25	347.76 ± 29.87	16.84	12.23 ± 0.23
Cylindra	725.4 ± 8.24	378.55 ± 12.49	47.81	9.20 ± 0.14
Little Ball	566.35 ± 31.06	364.54 ± 20.39	35.63	8.75 ± 0.48

Our results indicated (Table 2) a close negative correlation between betacyanin (BC) content in the fresh root and dry matter content ($r = -0.739$). There is adverse effect on the colour material in the powder and the BC content in the fresh root ($r = 0.749$). It can be explained that the higher amount of dry matter content (mainly sugar) increases colour loss because during the drying process it turns brown, deteriorating the colour intensity of the powder. This theory is supported by the adverse effect of betacyanin content of the fresh root and dry matter content.

Table 2. Correlation between different quality parameters ($n=24$)

	BC in fresh root	BC in powder	Colour loss (%)	Dry matter content (%)
BC in fresh root	–	0.749	0.880	- 0.739
BC in powder		–	0.367	- 0.369
Colour loss (%)			–	- 0.847
Dry matter content				–

In our hypothesis the two quality parameters (colour and sugar content) exist differently so the very good inner colour of root seems to have lower sugar content. Row material with higher sugar content is very useful for canning, while the other varieties with lower saccharose content for drying.

Data of betaxanthin content in fresh root and powder in Table 3 indicate that the betaxanthin colour loss is less than that of the betacyanin. In this way we can state that betacyanin is more heat sensitive than betaxanthin.

Table 3. Betaxanthin content in different table beet varieties

Varieties	Betaxanthin content ($\text{mg}100\text{g}^{-1}$)		Colour loss (%)
	in fresh root	in powder	
Favorit	551.35 ± 46.81	410.98 ± 25.15	25.46
Rubin	793.22 ± 30.20	450.71 ± 26.43	43.18
Detroit	464.81 ± 16.28	342.2 ± 26.66	26.38
Bordó	471.56 ± 27.44	395.34 ± 23.04	16.16
Cylindra	713.42 ± 11.48	438.27 ± 18.79	38.57
Little Ball	635.36 ± 40.39	446.31 ± 20.99	29.75

Conclusions

Vacuum drying is recommended to produce beet root powder in large quantities as lower temperatures can be used (48°C). For this purpose it is important to choose proper varieties as the higher sugar content turns brown during the process and decreases the value of the product considerably. The same raw material is, however, highly advantageous for salads and fresh consumption. The drying industry requires raw material of different type. Varieties should be tested for these parameters.

Our trials showed considerable differences in this point. The rate of pigment loss varied from 16.84% (*Bordó*) to 47.18% (*Cylindra*). This may correlate with the genetically determined pigment composition of the variety and the sugar content in solution.

The inner colour intensity of a variety is determined by the ratio of red (BC) and yellow (BX) pigments (BC/BX). A higher proportion value should be aimed at with a more intensive red colour. It is all the more important as our measurements indicated higher heat-sensitivity in the red components when compared to the yellow ones.

Beet root used for drying should contain higher betacyanin content and lower water soluble solids (sugar).

References

- Drdak, M., Alfamirano, R. C., Rajnokova, A., Simko, P., Karavicova, J. & Benkovszka, D. (1992):** Red beet pigment composition. Effect of fermentation by different strains of *Saccharomycetes cerevisiae*. J. Food. Sci. 57: 935–936.
- Elbe, J.H. von, Maing, J.Y. & Amundson, C.H. (1974):** Colour stability of betanin. Journal of Food Science. 39: 334.
- Jiratanan, T. & Liu, R.H. (2004):** Antioxidant Activity of Processed Table Beets (*Beta vulgaris* var. *conditiva*) and Green Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J. Agric Food Chem., 52. (9): 2659–2670.
- Nagy-Gasztonyi, M., Daood, H., Takács, H., Hájos M. & Biacs, P. A. (2001):** Comparison of red-beet varieties on the basis of their pigment-components. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 81: 932–933.
- Nilsson, T. (1970):** Studies into the pigments in beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L. ssp. *vulgaris* var. *rubra* L.). Lantbrukshogskolans Annaler. 36: 179–219.
- Takács, H., Hájos M., Szöllősi Varga, I., Lugasi, A., Fehér, M. & Stefanovits, Bányai É. (2004):** Correlation between pigment contents and FRAP values in beet root (*Beta vulgaris* ssp. *esculenta* var. *rubra*). International Journal of Horticulture Science. 10: (4.) 85–91.
- Takácsné, Hájos M. & Gyuris, K. (1994):** The effect of different temperatures and pH values on colour intensity in table beet root. Bulletin of the Vegetable Crops Research Institute. Kecskemét. 26: 129–140.